

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIKA LEE MEYERS,  
Plaintiff,

v.

SHERIFF MIKE DOWNING, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-04230-SBA](#) (PR)

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff filed the present pro se prisoner complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 22, 2015, mail directed to Plaintiff by the Court was returned to the Clerk of the Court with a notation that it was undeliverable because he was “paroled” on May 27, 2015. Dkt. 27. To date, Plaintiff has not updated his address with the Court or submitted any further pleadings in this case.

Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11 a party proceeding pro se whose address changes while an action is pending must promptly file a notice of change of address specifying the new address. See L.R. 3-11(a). The Court may dismiss without prejudice a complaint when: (1) mail directed to the pro se party by the Court has been returned to the Court as not deliverable, and (2) the Court fails to receive within sixty days of this return a written communication from the pro se party indicating a current address. See L.R. 3-11(b).

More than sixty days have passed since the mail directed to Plaintiff by the Court was returned as undeliverable. The Court has not received a notice from Plaintiff of a new address. Accordingly, the complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to Rule 3-11 of the Northern District Local Rules. The Clerk shall enter judgment, terminate Defendants’ pending motion for summary judgment as moot (dkt. 23), and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/24/15

  
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG  
United States District Judge